

Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Decision type | <input type="checkbox"/> Key Decision | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Operational Decision | <input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Decision |
| Approximate value | <input type="checkbox"/> Below £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £500,000 to £1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> over £1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £100,000 to £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over £500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 |
| Director¹ | Director of City Development | | |
| Contact person: | Mandeep Flora Assistant Traffic Engineer | Telephone number: 0113 3787507 | |
| Subject²: | Old York Road, Seacroft – Proposed Toucan Crossing | | |
| Decision details³: | <p>What decision has been taken? (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)</p> <p>The chief Officer (Highways and Transportation);</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) noted the contents of this report; b) gave authority to complete the detailed design and implement the proposed 'toucan' pedestrian crossing and associated civils work as set out in section 3.3 and indicated on drawing TM-19-253-CON-01c at an estimated cost of £65,000; c) gave authority to incur the expenditure of £55,000 works costs and £10,000 staff fees and legal fees, fully funded from a Developer contribution attached to planning consent 16/07380/FU; d) gave authority for the footways and cycle tracks to be constructed under the powers contained in the provisions of Section 65(1) of the Highways Act 1980 by the side of the carriageway as shown on drawing TM-19-253-CON-01c for the joint use by pedal cyclists and pedestrians; e) gave authority to and requested the City Solicitor to draft and advertise a Section 23 Notice (Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984) in order to inform the public of the proposed introduction of a 'toucan' pedestrian crossing, as shown on the drawing TM-19-253-CON-01c and respond to any communications received in this regard as appropriate; and f) received such other further reports (if needed) to address any objections received to advertised Notices or other matters arising from the detailed scheme proposals. | | |

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)</p> <p>As per condition of planning application 16/07380/FU, the proposal has been prepared to improve existing crossing facilities and associated movements on Old York Road between the Stocks Approach and South Parkway junctions.</p> <p>The outline of the scheme is to introduce a ‘toucan’ pedestrian crossing between the Stocks Approach and South Parkway junctions and realign the junctions to widen footways for the provision of shared pedestrian/cycle facilities.</p> <p>Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision</p> |
| Affected wards: | Killingbeck and Seacroft |
| Details of consultation undertaken⁴: | <p>Executive Member Councillor Helen Hayden 21.06.2021</p> <p>Ward Councillors – Killingbeck & Seacroft Ward Members were consulted by email on 28th November 2019 and responses were received from two of the Ward Members, supporting the scheme. The scheme was subsequently amended in response to local resident consultation and Ward Members were further consulted by email on 11th May 2020. Regular meetings have been held with Ward Members in order to keep them updated on the progress of the scheme and the feedback from the public consultations.</p> <p>Others - Consultation with Emergency Services, WYCA, cycling and motorcycling groups and directly affected residents was undertaken in November 2019.</p> |
| Implementation | <p>Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation Chris Way – Principal Traffic Engineer Implementation in August 2021</p> |

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| List of Forthcoming Key Decisions⁵ | Date Added to List:- |
| | If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision |
| | If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval Signature _____ Date _____ |
| Publication of report⁶ | If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible: |
| | If published late relevant Executive member's approval Signature _____ Date _____ |
| Call In | Is the decision available ⁷ for call-in? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| | If exempt from call-in , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public: |
| Approval of Decision | Authorised decision maker ⁸ Gary Bartlett – Chief Officer Highways & Transportation |
| | Signature _____ Date _____ <i>G. J. Bartlett</i> 30.06.2021 |

⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.